

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/072,177	FISCHELL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Shahnam Sharareh. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Howerton. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that there were two election made on record. One by Mr. Howerton and the other by Dr. Fischell. Mr. Hoerton explained that he is the attorney of record at this time and examination of claims should continue according to his election filed on 11/17/2005.